REPORT OF EDITORIAL EXPERIENCE IN THE INTERPARADIGMAS JOURNAL

Marina Vinha

In 2018, I took part in the Autoparadigmatic Transition course organized by the Interparadigmas Journal team, which eventually led me to volunteer in 2019. Behind the scenes, the Journal's activities require volunteers to perform various activities, of which I highlight: editing articles, reviewing papers, writing co-authored texts, coordinating live streaming broadcast, organizing courses and events, sharing opinions, among others.

Prioritizing the journal editing, I highlight the issue of peer reviewing the submitted texts, as I observed a theoretical-conceptual growth in my knowledge and the cognitive-empathic expansion, when I came across the aid review profile.

More complex than those school and academic guidelines and reviews of professional performance, the transition to the review from the aid perspective is characterized by the recognition that the authorship, the author conscin, although with mastery of the topic explored, is under paradigmatic self-transition and the intraconsciential process requires time to re-signifying, integraed with personal recycling.

The process of editing the submitted text occurs simultaneously with the volunteer's self-research process. Engaging with cutting-edge texts fosters paradigmatic self-reflection among volunteers, driven by the depth of theorization and the richness of casuistry within the explored topics. To maintain rigor and support, the journal's editorial team developed a protocol with the step-by-step review, which contributes to ensuring that the opinions are coherent from the perspective of the conscientiousness paradigm and focused on the journal's policy.

The journal's identity revolves around engaging with diverse scientific paradigms and the consciential paradigm, requiring close proximity to a wide range of topics. Therefore, if there is any difficulty on the part of the volunteer, the Interparadigmas journal's team take part and the journal's holothosene combined with the thosenes of the more experienced volunteers lead to assertive opinions.

The seriousness achieved by the journal motivates, brings consistency to the work, leads to a closer relationship with the consciential paradigm and creates an environment of healthy and mentalsomatic conviviality. In this sense, I highlight the publications in co-partnership, the weekly work meetings and the rich conversations over coffee, moments of reflection on more private issues, focused on the interparadigmatic context, paraperceptions and self-paradigm, always under construction. As a volunteer, I benefit from this construct of editorial experiences, so that there is a symbiosis: quality of the journal, reviews and graphosene productions.

> Translation: Patrícia Mello. Revision: Márcia Donadel.

172